

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/534,560	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FATH, ANDREAS	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL LA VILLA	<b>Art Unit</b> 1784	

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHAEL LA VILLA.

(2) Mr. Paikoff, Attny.

**Date of Interview:** 1 December 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112, 2<sup>nd</sup> of Office Action mailed on 31 March 2010*

Claims discussed:

*18-26, 30, and 33-37*

Prior art documents discussed:

*NONE*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant agreed to amend the claims as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment that accompanies the Notice of Allowability. The amendments to Claim 30 render Claim 30 definite. Withdrawn Claims 18-26 and 33-37 are canceled.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MICHAEL LA VILLA/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1784

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)